ABSTRACT

This paper reports on the approach and progress to refine the estimates of the Mars surface photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) on a global scale that is averaged over a longer time period. While the PAR on Mars has been evaluated previously, the results have been limited in scope either temporally or spatially, such as only at a particular landing site or only over the time span of a few months. Understanding the availability of PAR is important in evaluating the practicality of using greenhouses and/or solar irradiance collectors for growing crops during manned missions to the Martian surface. Until surface investigations can be performed, computational modeling of the surface PAR can help to refine site selection and evaluation of engineering approaches and indicate the most favorable location at which to operate a greenhouse. The proposed approach is to combine multispectral irradiance models with global atmospheric opacity models derived from multiyear observations.

INTRODUCTION

This paper examines the availability of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) at the surface of Mars. Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR) is that portion of the electromagnetic spectrum to which plants most respond and is generally between the wavelengths of 400-700nm (McCree 1972). While the PAR on Mars has been evaluated previously, the results have been limited in scope either temporally or spatially, such as only at a particular landing site or only over the time span of a few months (Ono and Cuello 2000; Ries, Bockstahler et al. 2003). This work proposes the combination of multispectral irradiance models with global atmospheric opacity models derived from multiyear orbital observations to arrive at an estimate of PAR across the planet surface throughout the year.

Understanding the availability of PAR is important in evaluating the practicality of using greenhouses and/or solar irradiance collectors for growing crops during manned missions to the Martian surface. Evaluating the practicality of using plants for life support is a major technical objective of The Advanced Life Support Technology Roadmap (Russo and Henninger 2002), an enabling question of NASA’s Bioastronautics Critical Path Roadmap, and a top ten goal of the Mars Exploration Program Advisory Group (MEPAG) (Taylor, Sumner et al. 2004).

This paper does not presuppose a particular design implementation of a crop production system. Rather, the intention is to provide a model of an environmental variable which can then be used to arrive at a successful design. Furthermore, the efficacy of and analysis of threats to, e.g. radiation, micrometeorite, thermal control, a Mars greenhouse are covered elsewhere (see this conference Clawson, Hoehn et al. 2005).

EXISTING MARS PAR ESTIMATES - At an average orbital distance of 1.52 AU, Mars receives 43% of the solar input at the top of the atmosphere than that available at Earth’s average orbital distance (1 AU). However, this varies from as little as 36% to as much as 52% that of Earth due to the orbital eccentricity of Mars. Figure 1 shows the spectrum at the top of the Earth’s atmosphere compared to the spectra at the top of Mars’ atmosphere during perihelion, aphelion, and at the average orbital distance each calculated using the inverse square law. The amount of light that reaches the surface, however, is more complicated involving absorption and scattering within the atmosphere.

On Earth, the amount of light that reaches the surface is a readily measured quantity. For example, the National Solar Radiation Database (NSRDB) contains 30 years (1961-1990) of solar radiation and supplementary meteorological data from 237 sites in the U.S., plus sites in Guam and Puerto Rico. Unfortunately, there is not an extensive measurement database of solar radiation on Mars. Only a handful of landers and rovers have taken surface irradiance data and only for limited time periods compared to a source such as the NSRDB.

Ono and Cuello (2000) used Viking 1 landing site calculated solar radiation data from Appelbaum, Landis et al. (1993) to estimate the PPF at the Mars surface. They determined a factor for converting the measured irradiance (Wm\(^{-2}\)) to PPF (4.568 μmolm\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\)/Wm\(^{-2}\) for Mars versus 4.609 μmolm\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\)/Wm\(^{-2}\) for Earth) given an...
estimated Mars surface spectrum from Crisp, Paige et al. (1994). They also estimated the percentage of PAR in the Mars surface spectrum to be 0.42. Their resulting estimated daily PPF averaged over the whole Martian year was $19.4 \text{ molm}^{-2}\text{d}^{-1}$.

The required level of PAR for a crop depends on many factors including the plant type, age, environmental parameters, and desired crop performance. Table 1 lists the environmental parameters used for crop performance testing within the Biomass Production Chamber (BPC) at Kennedy Space Center and are good estimates of the light levels required for life support crops (Wheeler, Sager et al. 2003). The average daily PPF calculated by Ono and Cuello (2000) is enough to grow supplemental crops. For example lettuce, spinach, radish, beet, etc. were grown at a daily PPF of 17.28 mol/m² in the BPC (Wheeler, Sager et al. 2003).

Table 1 Environmental set points used for ALS candidate crops in KSC testing (Wheeler, Sager et al. 2003).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop (Genus species)</th>
<th>Photosynthetic Photon Flux (PPF) $(\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1})$</th>
<th>Photoperiod (hours)</th>
<th>Daily PPF (mol day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staple Crops</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat (Triticum aestivum)</td>
<td>750  800  24  0  69.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean (Glycine max)</td>
<td>500  800  12  12  34.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato (Solanum tuberosum)</td>
<td>500  800  12  12  34.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweetpotato (Ipomoea batatas)</td>
<td>500  800  12  12  34.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peanut (Arachis hypogaea)</td>
<td>500  750  12  12  32.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice (Oryza sativa)</td>
<td>750  800  12  12  34.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bean (Phaseolus vulgaris)</td>
<td>350  400  16  6  25.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplemental Crops</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lettuce (Lactuca sativa)</td>
<td>300  16  8  17.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinach (Spinacia oleracea)</td>
<td>300  16  8  17.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum)</td>
<td>500  750  12  12  32.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chard (Beta vulgaris)</td>
<td>300  16  8  17.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radish (Raphanus sativus)</td>
<td>300  16  8  17.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Beet (Beta vulgaris)</td>
<td>300  16  8  17.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strawberry (Fragaria ananassa)</td>
<td>400  600  12  12  25.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Depending on the efficiency of the light utilization (e.g. transparent structure, irradiance collectors, etc.), the available PPF at the Viking 1 landing site might be sufficient to grow some crops during part of the year. Even for higher light crops it is clear that the available natural insolation can go a long way in alleviating some of the high equivalent system mass (power mass, heat rejection mass, and physical mass) associated with artificial lighting. However, data from a single landing site over one Martian year is hardly enough to justify a full-scale greenhouse development program. Refining the estimate of Mars surface PAR on a global scale that is averaged over a longer time period will elucidate the utility and efficiency of direct solar crop lighting and could suggest the most favorable location at which to operate such a system.

**SOLAR IRRADIANCE MODELING**

With the lack of extensive measured data from the surface, estimating the surface PAR is left to modeling.
The solar irradiance at the surface of Mars is a function of
1. the variation in Mars-Sun distance due to the orbital eccentricity of Mars
2. the variation in solar zenith angle due to the Martian season and time of day
3. the opacity of the Martian atmosphere, primarily due to dust loading

The variation in the Mars-Sun distance and the solar zenith angle are readily calculated using orbital mechanics. However, the opacity of the Martian atmosphere is more complicated requiring detailed knowledge of the airborne aerosol characteristics, primarily dust. Once defined, the orbital and atmospheric opacity parameters can be used to solve the equation of radiative transfer.

Radiative transfer modeling has enabled the estimation of many phenomena related to Mars such as general circulation models (Pollack, Haberle et al. 1990), predicting the performance of solar power systems on the Martian surface (Appelbaum and Flood 1989; Haberle, McKay et al. 1993; Crisp, Pathare et al. 2003), and assessing the effects of the Martian UV on the survival of biological systems (Cockell, Catling et al. 2000; Schuerger, Mancinelli et al. 2003; Patel, Berces et al. 2004). Various methods have been employed to solve the equation of radiation transfer such as the delta-Eddington approximation (Joseph, Wiscombe et al. 1976; Patel, Zarenciek et al. 2002), the doubling/adding numerical method (Pollack, Toon et al. 1976; Pollack, Haberle et al. 1990), and discrete-order numerical method (Stamnes, Tsay et al. 1988; Crisp, Pathare et al. 2003). Besides the solver employed, models are distinguished from one another by the number of environmental factors that are taken into account such as albedo, absorption and scattering from atmospheric gases, water vapor, and dust. Finally, as the fidelity of atmospheric property data increases, the surface irradiance can be computed at individual wavelengths. A multispectral code captures the effects of spectrally varying properties and is desired in this case to allow extraction of the PAR wavelengths.

The selected approach is to use output results from the code described by Crisp, Pathare et al. (2003). This code solves the monochromatic equation of transfer in plane-parallel, vertically inhomogeneous, scattering, absorbing, emitting atmospheres. A multi-level, multi-stream discrete ordinate algorithm, DISORT, was employed (Stamnes, Tsay et al. 1988). The wavelength dependence of the atmospheric and surface optical properties and source functions was accounted for by incorporating DISORT into the spectral mapping atmospheric radiative transfer (SMART) model. The SMART model interpolates wavelength-dependent atmospheric and surface optical properties and the solar source function onto a common spectral grid that resolves all of the spectral features of the input fields. It then evaluates the solar radiation field at each wavelength using high-resolution spectral mapping methods. Running the radiative transfer code for each time point in the day for each location on the surface at each position in the orbit is impractical. However, the analysis performed by Crisp, Pathare et al. (2003) involved solution of the model for a range of solar zenith angles at various dust levels. The zenith angle data can be mapped to the time of day depending on the latitude and orbit position while the discrete dust levels could be interpolated to account for latitudinal or even longitudinal variation in atmospheric conditions.

The multispectral nature of the Crisp model permits easy extraction of the PAR component. Once the irradiance data for the PAR wavelengths were isolated, the irradiance at each wavelength interval was quantized according to:

$$E = \frac{\hbar c}{\lambda}$$

The spectrum of PPF fluxes was then integrated. Figure 3 shows the resulting integrated PPF versus solar zenith angle \(\theta_0 = 0^\circ, 20^\circ, 35^\circ, 50^\circ, 65^\circ, 75^\circ, 85^\circ, 90^\circ\) at 9 different dust optical depths \(\tau_d = 0.001, 0.1, 0.3, 0.6, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0\). Even though these levels cover the expected range of dust optical depths likely to be encountered, four levels are not enough to accurately interpolate the irradiance at intermediate depths. Figure 4 shows the PPF versus optical depth for each of the solar zenith angles. The figure shows that the increased number of optical depths will ensure accurate interpolation.
The modeled photosynthetic flux data can be further be normalized to the flux available at the top of the atmosphere. This allows the use of the data throughout the change solar distance experience by Mars during its orbit. The additional model runs have produced enough data to allow accurate interpolation within the expected range of dust levels. The next step is to marry the modeled photosynthetic flux data with global aerosol data.

MARS DUST MODELS

We have just begun the search for an appropriate dust distribution with which to combine our PPF model. Fortunately, there are a growing number of planet-wide databases of Mars atmosphere characteristics thanks to recent Mars exploration spacecraft.

Lewis, Collins et al. (1999) have developed a dust distribution based on data from the Mars Global Surveyor. Figure 5 shows the dust levels as a function of season (areocentric longitude, Ls) and latitude. While this model offers good temporal resolution, the lack of spatial resolution does not allow the comparison of the available PPF at various locations across the planet’s surface. In contrast, Figure 6 shows the dust optical depth measured by the Mars Odyssey THEMIS. The top graph shows the seasonal variation (Ls, areocentric longitude or orbit position) versus latitude while the bottom graph shows the variation over the planets surface over a time span encompassing 20° of areocentric longitude (Smith, Bandfield et al. 2003). It is obvious that there is a trade-off between spatial and temporal resolution.

The distribution developed by Lewis, Collins et al. will be used initially to validate the interpolation and integration protocols. In the meantime, we will search for a distribution with the best combination of the temporal and spatial resolution.

CONCLUSION

In this paper we have outlined an approach to refining the estimates of the Mars surface PAR on a global scale. The proposed approach combines the results of high spectral resolution irradiance models with globally varying dust distribution scenarios. The increased spectral resolution of the modeled irradiance improves the accuracy of the extraction of photosynthetic photon flux data. However, there are some limitations to this
approach. The only parameters that are varied in the resulting dataset are zenith angle and dust optical depth. The effect of local variation in albedo, altitude, and atmospheric pressure are ignored. However, the variation in the normalized net flux function used in Appelbaum, Landis et al. (1993) varies less than 5% between and albedo of 0.1 and 0.4 at the same dust optical depth of 1.0. More analysis is needed to quantify the possible error due to variation in altitude and atmospheric pressure. Additionally, although the effect of atmospheric water vapor is explicitly accounted for in the model, atmospheric water column abundance varies spatially and temporally, which has not be taken into account. Finally, the dust absorption and scattering characteristics change with the type of material from which the dust originated. The dust characteristics vary over the planet’s surface and will not be taken into account. All of the sources of variation will be addressed and evaluated in the final analysis.
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DEFINITIONS, ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS

DISORT: Discrete-Ordinate Radiative Transfer

NSRDB: National Solar Radiation Database

PAR: Photosynthetically Active Radiation

PPF: Photosynthetic Photon Flux

SMART: Spectral Mapping Atmospheric Radiative Transfer Model

THEMIS: Thermal Emission Imaging System