

**2022 Breakthrough, Innovative, and Game-changing (BIG) Idea Challenge**  
**Final Scoring Matrix**



TECHNICAL PAPER (Max 80 Points)	Point Scale
<p><b>Verification Testing (Max 30 Points)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Did the team adequately identify and mitigate the key risks associated with development and operation of the mobility solution?</li> <li>Was the testing conducted at a high enough level of fidelity to provide confidence the technology would work in a lunar environment?</li> <li>Were the test results in line with the expected results developed through analytical methods?</li> <li>Did the team draw adequate conclusions about their design solution?</li> </ul>	<hr style="width: 20%; margin-left: auto;"/> /Out of 30
<p><b>Technical Credibility and Feasibility (Max 25 Points)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>How well did the team’s implementation of their mobility solution meet the original goals and objectives?</li> <li>Did the verification testing increase the technology’s TRL level, or advance the state of the art?</li> <li>Did the technology reach the minimum Technology Readiness Level (TRL) of 4?</li> <li>Did the team demonstrate a cost effective and viable mobility solution for their proposed lunar mission scenario?</li> <li>Is the technology operationally resilient? (Ability to withstand adverse circumstances and the harsh lunar environment, the capability to degrade gracefully)</li> <li>Is the technology credible?</li> <li>Did the team identify the performance needs of potential stakeholders/funders (i.e., Exploration, Science, Commercial)?</li> <li>Will the hardware scale to meet the operational concepts?</li> <li>Can the system be operated in an environment where communications may be interrupted, have high latency and limited bandwidth?</li> <li>Can the system transport the payloads needed for the expected concept of operations?</li> </ul>	<hr style="width: 20%; margin-left: auto;"/> /Out of 25
<p><b>Technical Management (Max 15 Points)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Did the team perform effective project management and demonstrate responsiveness to unplanned events?</li> <li>How well did the team describe their development effort, including all design assumptions and decisions and address fabrication, materials selection, transport, deployment, operations, etc.?</li> <li>How well did the team manage the project’s budget, schedule, and scope?</li> <li>How well did the team adhere to the provided requirements and constraints for the design competition?</li> <li>Did the team provide adequate justification for exceeding any established constraints?</li> </ul>	<hr style="width: 20%; margin-left: auto;"/> /Out of 15
<p><b>Path-to-Flight (Max 10 Points)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Did the team adequately describe the technology’s anticipated path-to-flight for a mission to the Moon by 2026?</li> <li>Did the team provide adequate rationale for their trades and critical modifications made to the design for use on the Moon?</li> <li>Have all of the needed components been identified and assessed for operation in the expected lunar environments?</li> <li>Is a viable strategy presented for a path to implementation?</li> </ul>	<hr style="width: 20%; margin-left: auto;"/> /Out of 10
<b>Total Points – Technical Paper Criteria</b>	<b>/80</b>
PRESENTATION (Max 15 Points)	Point Scale
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Quality of verification testing results demonstration</li> <li>Quality of presentation               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clear presentation of information provided in technical paper</li> <li>Quality of response to questions for presentation, models and/or prototypes</li> <li>Presence of teamwork and integration</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<hr style="width: 20%; margin-left: auto;"/> /Out of 15
<b>Total Points – Presentation Criteria</b>	<b>/15</b>
POSTER (Max 5 Points)	Point Scale
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Poster quality</li> <li>Clear presentation of information provided in technical paper               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Note to the teams from the judges: When it comes to the Technical Poster, Less is More!</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>Audience engagement with judges and other participants (including responses to questions)</li> </ul>	<hr style="width: 20%; margin-left: auto;"/> /Out of 5
<b>Total Points – Poster Criteria</b>	<b>/5</b>
BONUS POINTS (Max 10 Points)	Point Scale
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>At the time of final Forum, has the technology demonstrated enough merit that NASA should consider investing in making the concept flight-ready?</li> <li>Is this technology in a position to be ready for use on the Moon by 2026?</li> <li>Has the technology reached a TRL of 5 or greater?</li> <li>Is the technology able to transport (by carrying, pushing, dragging, etc.) objects with much larger mass than their own?</li> <li>Has the mobility solution demonstrated the ability to autonomously detect and avoid mobility hazards and select and execute navigation paths?</li> </ul>	<hr style="width: 20%; margin-left: auto;"/> /Out of 10
<b>Total Points – Bonus Section</b>	<b>/10</b>



**Total Score (Max 110 points)** \_\_\_\_\_