

Motivation

Transporting equipment to the moon is extremely costprohibitive, and competition is fierce over a limited cargo volume. Freight impediments are circumvented if the required material can be harvested, processed, and shaped at the destination. The hostile and remote environment constrains candidate technologies to establish a production pipeline with minimal equipment volume and a low dependence on consumables.

Approach

Carbonyl Iron Refining (CIR) has attributes especially conducive to these constraints. Our proposed CIR concept tailors existing iron refining technology into lunar-optimized apparatus. CIR uses a reactive gas phase to concentrate disparate iron particles into a high-purity (>98%) powder properties favorable for additive with product manufacturing. The process does not consume the reactive gas, making the apparatus nearly closed-loop.

Feasibility Calculations

- Iron pentacarbonyl ($Fe(CO)_5(g)$) formation is favored at low temperatures and high pressure. However, operating at a low *T* introduces a kinetic limitation.
- Carbonylation is commercially done at high *P* (>200 atm) to maximize per-batch iron yield over the course of ~48 hours. Production at lower pressures has received relatively little research.
- Operating at lower pressures appears ideal for the lunar environment, where a curtailed throughput may be an acceptable tradeoff for a lighter vessel.
- Thermodynamic calculations suggest the feasibility of low-pressure equilibrium iron yield.



Pressure [atm]	Iron Yield per Cycle [g]	Cycles to Break Even
20	3.74	43,829
40	29	5,653
60	145	1,131
80	389	422
100	732	224

Temperature: 150 °C; Apparatus mass: 164 kg; Composition: 10 wt% Fe; Volume loading: 10%; Volume Reactor: 100 L

Production of Steel from Lunar Regolith through Carbonyl Iron Refining (CIR) Collin T. Andersen, John F. Otero, Olivia Dale, Christian Norman, Cole Walker, Jason Sheets, Talon Townsend, Juliana Ortiz, Olivia Slane, Hong Yong Sohn, Michael F. Simpson, Zhigang Z. Fang

Proposed CIR Production on Moon: Two-chamber design



Carbonylation and Decomposition Verification Testing

Lab-scale carbonylation system

- Built for verification testing under specific conditions of T and P for $Fe(CO)_{5}(g)$ formation and decomposition into iron.
- Formation chamber was a **0.6-liter titanium pressure** vessel connected to a **19-liter stainless steel** decomposition chamber.

Experimental conditions

- T (120 150 °C) and P (50 – 55 atm) combinations were tested to find the optimal yield of $Fe(CO)_5(g)$ formation.
- The pressure was maintained between 180 to 410 minutes.



Verification testing

 Performed on 11 samples with different loading materials:

- 6 with iron puriss - 4 simulant regolith from **Pioneer Astronautics**, - 1 simulant regolith
- reduced in-house.
- 7 of the 11 experiments produced a small quantity of powder product.

Decomposition Chamber

Further Experiments

- Two additional experiments with CO(g) were performed using a **High-Pressure wire-mesh** TGA up to 180°C and 80 bar to verify the $Fe(CO)_{5}(g)$ formation.
- A decrease in mass up to 0.9% mass decrease was observed for a 3 h 39 min experiment.





Results and Conclusions



Feasibility of extracting and concentrating iron into a product powder from a reduced simulant regolith was demonstrated.





Future Research

2

2

Modify decomposition chamber variables to densify and shrink the product particles to meet the constraints of additive manufacturing.

> **Refine a kinetic model by** maintaining formation conditions >8 hours to inform ultimate lunar viability.

Consider testing production at an intermediate pressure (80-120 atm).

Address yet unanswered questions pertinent to a closedloop carbonylation system, such as monitoring and maintaining an effective CO/CO_2 ratio.

Test the merits of magnetically concentrating free iron directly from the lunar surface to remove the need for a prior reduction step.

Acknowledgments

5

The National Institute of Aerospace for funding this project and organizing NASA's 2023 BIG Idea Challenge.

Dr. Kevin Whitty and Jieun Kim for providing HPTGA data. Powder Metallurgy Research Lab industry partner for providing advice and assistance with powder analysis.

Jordan Contreras and Jarom Chamberlain for their initial project contributions.

This work made use of Nanofab EMSAL shared facilities of the **Micron Technology Foundation Inc.**